

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,448	02/05/2004	Othmar Hayoz	032498-021	3827	
21839	7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER		
	AN, INGERSOLL & RO	LAZORCIK, JASON L			
	CE BOX 1404 RIA, VA 22313-1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1731		
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
			148	HAYOZ ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit	
		Jason L.	Lazorcik	1731	
	ING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
Period for Reply	·.				
WHICHEVER IS  - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH  - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD F LONGER, FROM THE M hay be available under the provisions of strom the mailing date of this command y is specified above, the maximum st in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNIO vent, however, may a r will expire SIX (6) MON eplication to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the sandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this	ve to communication(s) file in is FINAL. application is in condition accordance with the pract	2b) ☐ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. It for formal matt		e merits is
Disposition of Clai	ms				
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☐ Claim(s) _ 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	-21 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to -21 are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from co			
Application Papers	;				
10)☐ The drawir Applicant n Replaceme	cation is objected to by the og(s) filed on is/are hay not request that any objected to declaration is objected to	: a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requi	be held in abeyar ired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowled a) All b) Cer 2. Cer 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: tified copies of the priority tified copies of the priority ties of the certified copies dication from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A nents have been lle 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this Nationa	I Stage
	son's Patent Drawing Review (Fundaments) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/771,448

Art Unit: 1731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to a method for producing a glass body, classified in class 065, subclass 125.
- II. Claims 10-21, drawn to an apparatus for producing a glass body, classified in class 065, subclass 301.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I) and (II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be utilized in a materially different process such as forming a plastic body.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to James LaBarre on December 6, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,448

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Lazorcik whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/771,448

Art Unit: 1731

272-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLL

ERIC HUG RIMARY EXAMINER